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B.S. in Health Sciences At UMR

- Integrated across disciplines
- Learner-centered
- Concept-based
- Assessment-driven
- Technology-enhanced
- Writing-integrated
- Community-integrated
- High-contact faculty model
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Writing Integrated Curriculum at UMR

This course participates in the UMR Writing Integrated Curriculum.

As a part of the Writing Integrated Curriculum (WIC), writing is incorporated into the instruction of all courses in the BSHS program.

The BSHS program identifies effective communication and writing as extremely important for academic and professional success. The WIC implements innovative strategies to enhance student learning and writing and to deepen their understanding of literacy and the writing process. The goal is for students to recognize the power of effective writing and communication, develop these skills throughout the BSHS program, and continue to cultivate these skills as lifelong learners.
First-Year Research Symposium Project: Goals

1. Read and understand a contemporary area of scientific research

2. Critically reflect upon the ethical implications of this area of scientific research

3. Practice and improve writing skills through a scaffolded iterative writing process

4. Improve research literacy and professional presentation skills
Structure of Project

- Writing Studio
  - Project Topic Selection
- Biology Paper
  - Consultations with Biology Faculty
- Ethics Paper
  - Consultations with Ethics Faculty
- Symposium
  - Presentations
List of Possible Topics for Research Symposium

1. Ethics of gene sequence and pharmaceutical patenting
2. Ethics of stem cell research (many subtopics possible, must be specifically defined by group)
3. Ethics of genetic/neural/physical enhancement
4. Neuroscience (fMRI) and free will/responsibility
5. Addiction and responsibility
6. Neuroscience (fMRI) and lie detection
7. Organ Trafficking: The right to sell organs
8. Organ transplants for addicts or convicted felons
9. Amputation of Limbs of Healthy Patients (Body Integrity Identity Disorder)
10. Use of animals in biological research; use of primates specifically
11. The social responsibility of pharmaceutical companies to develop specific drugs
12. The ethics of human cloning (somatic cell nuclear transfer)
13. Genetic counseling: Privacy and confidentiality
14. Genetic screening/testing of newborns or minors
15. Abortion: When does life begin?
16. Euthanasia: What constitutes a terminal illness?
17. Definitions of death (Cardiac vs. Whole Brain) and their implications for organ transplantation
18. Detecting consciousness in PVS patients and the removal of life-sustaining treatment
19. The use of chemical and biological agents in warfare
20. Involuntary civil commitment/castration of sex offenders
21. The biomedical model of disease and mental illness
22. Neuroscience (fMRI) and pain perception
23. Implications of genetically modified crops, other species in the wild
24. Ethics of Direct-to-Consumer genetic testing
25. Ethics of predictive genetic testing for highly heritable traits, role of familial consent
26. Vaccination
27. Genetically modified organisms as pets: e.g. GFP glow fish, hypoallergenic cats
...
# Timeline: Biology Paper

*(Collaborative)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Scientific Writing / Purpose &amp; Audience / Form Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>Topic Proposal and Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Biology: Outline and Writing Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>Biology: Methods &amp; Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Biology: Discussion &amp; Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>Biology: Introduction, Background and Significance; Self and Peer Evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Timeline: Ethics Paper

*(Individual)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Ethics: Outline and Writing Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>[Spring Break]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>Ethics: Structuring Arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 11</td>
<td>Ethics: Supporting Arguments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# Timeline: Research Symposium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 12</td>
<td>Bridging Biological and Ethical Content: Abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 13</td>
<td>Bridging Biological and Ethical Content: Abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 14</td>
<td>Poster Preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 16</td>
<td>Research Symposium Presentations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Faculty Consultations

Consultation Rubric

Group:

Paper copy of current outline (10 points) Yes/No

Copy of the group self-check list FILLED OUT (5 points) Yes/No

General organization/preparation for meeting, timeliness (5 points) Yes/No

(Bring copy of any primary literature article for questions re: specific methods in literature, etc.)

Any issues re: group work, contributions of members as outlined by your Writing Plan

Score:

Comments:
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Sampling of 2013 UMR Research & Education Symposium Posters

2014 UMR Research & Education Symposium
May 5 – 9, 2014
Challenges

• Administrative organization/management
  • Departmental/Institutional; staff support

• Communication among faculty during project
  • Domain of inquiry, core concepts, and methods of instruction, assessment strategies

• Communication with students during project
  • Cohort enrollment model

• Level of difficulty for first year students
  • Clear expectations, instructions, avenues of support
Strengths of this approach

- Authentic multidisciplinary perspectives and investigations
- Rigor in first year project
- Level of faculty support across disciplines, in multiple courses
Questions?

Kelsey Metzger
University of Minnesota Rochester
kmetzger@umn.edu
Self and Peer Evaluation Questions

- What grade (%) would you assign this group member for the Research Symposium Project?

- How would you rate the effort of this group member in terms of preparedness, attendance at group meetings and communicating with other group members?

- How would you rate the quality of contributions of this group member in terms of contributing writing to the project and providing feedback for other group members’ contributions?

  5 - very good
  4 - good
  3 - adequate
  2 - somewhat poor
  1 - very poor