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THE ENGLISH DEPARTMENT MICROLAB: AN ENDANGERED SPECIES?

Thomas T. Barker

An article in the April 1985 issue of Science magazine quoted researchers as estimating that over the next 10 years large universities may spend between $100 and $200 million apiece for "computers and related technologies." Researchers further estimate, the article continues, that over the same time period small universities may spend between $20 and $50 million on similar conversions. Evidence from the English Microlab Registry, (EMR) a database of information about computer facilities for writing instruction, suggests that English departments will share in that conversion: that instructional computing—mostly in the form of word processing equipment for students—will gobble up a larger and larger slice of the budget pie. As editor of the EMR, I have seen the number of microlabs in the listing rise in the past year from 41 to 65: a dramatic increase. Many English departments are providing computers for their students; yet, when you consider the fact that many students now have their own computers, there is cause for serious alarm.

I heard an English professor at a 4C's conference express that alarm in this way: "If our students are all going to own their own computers, why are we investing in word processing labs at all?" Why invest thousands (or millions) of dollars in computer equipment when students will soon be doing their word processing in their dorm rooms? The issue is complicated by an understandable urge to provide students with the benefits of writing technology. But the stakes are high. Put another way the issue is this: is the current rage for microlabs a part of a new and productive role of computers, or is it a waste of money? Faced as many of us are with the prospect of lean budgets, we may be offering the technology of writing to those who already have it.

As a director of a microlab in the English Department at Texas Tech University for the last 3 years, I have a professional interest in the issue. I would like to see articles, books and conference sessions devoted to microlabs. But how much more crucial is the interest of those administrators who are planning their facility now? Like me 3 years ago, many of them are starting from scratch, sending out letters and making phone calls. The EMR was first designed as a research tool for those planners. It was intended to give them access to information about all aspects of microlab management, from types of word processing programs to types of computers. But I have realized that the information it contains may be useful as primary data, relevant to the issue of microlab survival. The EMR, in fact, provides us with the first real descriptive data about what a microlab is.2 It may also provide us with insight into whether those labs will survive.

A Database of New Information

What kinds of information does the EMR contain? Apart from names and addresses, the registration form asks for the name of the facility, the date it started, and the number of user hours it offers (user hours means the total number of terminals multiplied by the total number of hours the lab is open per week.) The starting date is intended to give researchers an idea of the degree of experience the contact(s) might have with microlab management; and the number of user hours is intended to give an idea of the lab’s size. Three other types of information are requested on the registration form: a description of the hardware (specifically, the brands and numbers of computers and printers); a list of the software (specifically, word processing programs and courseware); and, finally, a brief statement (under 50 words) of the facility’s purpose. Included with this information is a computer-generated index to brand names of programs and equipment. The index makes it easy for researchers to identify those facilities which use any given brand of computer or computer program. All this information is entered into my personal computer and printed out for distribution twice a year.3

What's in a Name?

The description of a microlab on the registration form is as follows: microlabs are "microcomputer facilities in language-related departments (English, Rhetoric, Communications, Speech, ESL, etc.) in colleges and
universities." However, the term *microlab* is likely to mean different things to different people. To some, a *microlab* means a computer classroom, to others it means a walk-in room, and to others it means a computer in the corner of a writing center. Some see its users primarily as faculty or graduate students; others see its users as primarily students who were assigned a "lab" by their teachers. Some see it as a word processing center, some see it as a drill and practice center. Some want to teach in it; some want to research in it. To try to get a handle on this diversity, I studied the names people gave for their labs. Names like The English Department Word Processing Lab (California University of Pennsylvania) or The English Computer Writing Lab (University of Minnesota, Duluth) specify the purest of the breed: the dedicated lab in the English department. Dedicated word processing/grammar labs make up 83% of the EMR listings. Typically, these labs house approximately 12-15 non-networked, stand-alone IBM PCs or Apples. They offer word processing and some computer-assisted instruction. The "average" lab offers about 500 user hours per week — enough time to computerize about 8-10 composition classes (at 2 hours per student per week). While most computer labs dedicated to writing instruction are in English departments, a significant number of them exist outside English departments, either as learning assistance centers or writing centers. These facilities include the Writing Skills Center (Texas A & I University) and the Purdue University Writing Center. Only 7% of the labs registered are writing centers. Certain other names — the Learning Center Instructional Laboratory (Evergreen Valley College), or the Microlab of the Individualized Learning Center (Florida Institute of Technology) — suggest facilities with a university-wide clientele. About 9% of the facilities in the EMR are learning assistance centers. The older labs in the EMR (1979-1982) are writing centers and learning assistance centers: instructional facilities already in place.

Another indicator of the nature and use of a microlab is the type of institution that houses it: whether it be a state college, a college, or a university. One thing the EMR lets us do is search the database for words like "state" or "community" in the "name of school" field. The results are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Institution</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universities</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleges</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Universities</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Colleges</td>
<td>09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutes and High Schools</td>
<td>09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clearly these numbers indicate, on the one hand, that perhaps certain types of institutions (universities) were encouraged to register, or were contacted and asked to register. Because I give out EMR registration forms at conferences, these figures may reflect the conference-going behavior of our university colleagues. On the other hand, one may read these figures in other ways. The high percentage of facilities in universities may suggest that these labs serve research purposes. That so many of them are in colleges and state universities may suggest that many English teachers in training are beginning to explore computer usages. Their expertise may shape microlabs and writing instruction to come.

**What Key Words Tell Us About Use**

The terminology of certain parts of the EMR records, the "statement of purpose" field, suggested also to me that research represented a common secondary use of microcomputers in English (the primary use being writing instruction). To study the terminology used in the statements of purpose, I did a computerized analysis of the frequency of word use in them. I was able to list the words in the purpose statements according to the number of times they were used. The analysis showed that the word "research" was used 14 times. The word use frequency of other key words is summarized in Table 2.
Table 2: Frequency of selected key words used in Purpose Statements in the EMR
(total number of purpose statements = 65)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word or words*</th>
<th># of occurrences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>business, communications</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technical, grammar, reading</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revising, language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freshman</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basic, learning</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cai, teaching</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classes</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>research</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>composition</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instruction</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>courses</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>faculty</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>writing</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prepositions, articles, auxiliary verbs, etc. are omitted from this list.

It is important, I think, to qualify any discussion of these figures by realizing that these purpose statements — responses to the query “Brief statement of the facility’s purpose (50 words; may be edited for length; use attachments if needed)” — may simply represent wishful thinking on the part of microlab directors. (I might inject that none of the purpose statements was edited.) They may not accurately reflect the day-to-day usage of the facility. They may be outdated. Yet, clearly from Table 2 we can see that many descriptions are cast in terms of the specific instructional use, such as technical or business writing, (11 labs total) or in terms of the level of application, such as “freshman” or “basic.” Two uses of word processing seem to be most appealing: 1) the practical value of instruction in word processing in technical writing courses, and 2) the promise of greater efficiency in first-year courses. Untested, experimental, or recently published uses are not showing up yet with regularity. And as the computer technology gets more powerful, networked labs using the Writer’s Workbench or the Automated Language Processing Systems (ALPS) software are registering more frequently.

Tracking the Future

This descriptive information about microlabs suggests that they are not just word processing centers. They are facilities with multiple uses. I would like to think that the future of these labs would depend on their well-tested instructional validity. I would like to think the need for computers in writing instruction and research would be recognized by all (or forgotten by all, as the case may be). If student interest and use were the criteria, then there would be no issue: students love microlabs. But the longevity of these facilities also depends on non-theoretical, often lower-order considerations of money and politics. Nevertheless, I would like to suggest that for the microlabs that currently exist to survive, and for new ones to represent sound investments, two conditions must be met: 1) college administrators and colleagues should commit to supporting microlab administrators; and 2) programs need to be written specifically for the microlab environment.
The first condition is the more easily met. As microlabs grow in size and complexity, some person will need to emerge with the expertise to manage information and personnel. The future microlab director may be a research management specialist, adept at satisfying the information needs of what we now call instruction and research. Following the model of data processing departments in government and business, this individual might be in charge of program development, documentation, and computer system maintenance. Certainly he or she would be a central facilitator of information exchange and retrieval. As research and instructional computing “heat up,” our colleagues as well may welcome help of an informed microlab director. Establishing these and other traces of administrative support, such as reduced teaching loads and higher pay, would help protect and validate the investment in computer technology now sweeping English departments.

Similarly, these facilities, like others in medium to large organizations, need to have programs that suit the needs of their primary users: students and teachers. I might even offer this theory: if software developers write programs for microlabs, there will be microlabs to run them in. The point here is that microlabs offer a unique environment for computers and research in writing. For instance, we are beginning to see networking make a significant mark on writing instruction as more and more writing teachers recognize the extended audience and flexibility in authorship that computers provide. They also see that microlabs encourage collaborative writing and in some ways challenge our traditional considerations of rhetoric and purpose. Other issues concerning microlabs have yet to be answered: How will copy-protection be handled? How will we measure the pedagogical validity of lab-style teaching? What does ease-of-use mean in a microlab?

Clearly, microlabs are here, but are they here to stay? As we have seen, microlabs are beginning to do more than offer word processing. They appeal to multiple levels and multiple types of instruction. Microlabs provide a focus point for research at all levels. For me to pretend to answer the question raised in the beginning of this article would not make much sense, nor be believable. The emerging technology of writing is on-going and dynamic, and promises to be so for years to come. If, as I have suggested, we support microlab directors, and if we take advantage of the opportunity for research that microlabs offer, then there is a good chance we may look forward to the First National Conference on the Theory and Management of Word Processing Microlabs. See you there.

NOTES


2 I know of two projects that are studying computer facilities as a part of analyzing computer use in general. One project is being conducted by Linda J. Stine in the Master of Human Services Program at Lincoln University, Lincoln, Pennsylvania. Stine’s work was presented at the November 1985 NCTE Conference in Philadelphia. The other study is being conducted by R. M. B. Gardiner and Jo K. McGinnis at the English Department of the University of Arizona at Tucson. Both these studies promise descriptive information about microlabs.

3 Anyone wishing further information about the EMR may write me at 1211 47th Street, Lubbock, TX 79412.

Dr. Thomas T. Barker is head of the national English Microlab Registry and teaches in the English Department at Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409.
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1985 MLA Conference

The Modern Language Association's 1985 conference will be held in Chicago between December 27-30, 1985. Computer applications to writing and literature appear in the following sessions and panels:

Saturday, December 28


Bibliographical Data Bases in Literary Research: The View from Here (Panel): David J. Nordloh, Eileen M. Mackesy, Harrison T. Meserold, Robert L. Oakman III


Sunday, December 29


Computer Applications and Editorial Restraints (Joel Myerson): ■ Computers and the Author (Peter L. Shillingsburg) ■ Computers and the Journal Editor (Joel Myerson) ■ Computers and the Printer (David Chesnutt) ■ Computers and the Publisher (Ken Scott)
Monday, December 30
Archiving Electronic Texts: Problems and Prospects (Panel): W. Speed Hill, Todd K. Bender, Marianne Gaunt, Robert A. Kraft, Dorothy Wartenburg

Computer Applications in the Humanities: Promises to Keep (Michael J. Preston): ■ Areas of Research in Conrad (Todd K. Bender)

Groups presenting the above include the Division on Methods of Literary Research, Division on the Teaching of Language, Association of Teachers of Technical Writing, Association of Departments of Foreign Languages, Association for Computers and the Humanities, Association for Documentary Editing, MLA Committee on Scholarly Editions, and the Discussion Group on Computer Studies in Language and Literature. Contact the MLA, 62 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10011.

Software for Text Analysis and Writing Instruction

Ellen McDaniel

The following bibliography is a selection of programs, available and under development, that represent the scope of the first generation of text-analysis and writing-instruction software. The list does not include word-processing programs, except for those that are integrated into analysis or instruction packages, such as HBJ Writer. Most of the programs are the efforts of our academic colleagues, but many are first programs from the computer-software industry. In the next generation of programs, we can expect to see the academic and commercial developers combine their ideas in collaborative projects to produce software that is a hybrid of academic knowledge and commercial know-how. A few of the programs listed here—like WARRANT, the joint project of Carnegie-Mellon University and the Information Technology Center, and IBM’s Epistle—may really be second-generation programs, as they have left behind the world of procedural languages and moved into LISP and PROLOG environments. I am as yet unwilling to proclaim them as clear successors to the first generation of software, in part because I do not have space here to defend such a claim, but mainly because so little is known about them. They are still under development with uncertain release dates.

This bibliography is arranged alphabetically by program name and includes authors’ names, publisher or marketing organization, brief technical specifications, and information about price and availability. The information in this bibliography was provided by the authors and programmers of the software through a mailed survey with follow-up correspondence and telephone conversations in late spring 1985.

An expanded version of this bibliography—which includes descriptions of the programs, addresses and phone numbers of the authors and publishers, and additional technical specifications—can be obtained from The Temple University Working Papers in Composition series, editor Francis J. Sullivan, for $1.90. Inquiries and requests should be sent to Dr. Sullivan, c/o The Writing Program, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122. The bibliography should be requested by its series title, which is “A Bibliography of Text-Analysis and Writing-Instruction Software.”

CALL FOR INFORMATION: Please let me know about any software development that has not been included in this bibliography, or of any changes in the information presented here. When sending information on programs, please include title, author (with address and phone number), publisher and/or marketing organization (with name, address
and phone number of the person to contact in the organization), hardware and software specifications, price, description, and information on availability.

-------------------

TITLE: ACCESS (A Computer Composing Educational Software System)
AUTHORS: Donald Ross, Lillian Bridwell, Sheldon Fossum, et al., University of Minnesota
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC; 192 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: PC-DOS 2.1; programmed in IBM Pascal
PRICE: Undetermined; available Fall 1985, on a limited basis

TITLE: ALPS WRITING LAB
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Automated Language Processing Systems (Brett Newbold), 190 West 800 North,
Provo, UT 84604
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple Macintosh; 512 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Resident; programmed in Lisa Workshop Pascal 2.0
PRICE: Contact ALPS for prices

TITLE: ANALYSIS OF WRITING
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Miami-Dade Community College (Cindy Elliott)
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC-XT
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: UCSD p-system IV.1 and Camelot, a microcomputer-based system for individualizing
information programmed in UCSD Pascal.
PRICE: $150.00 for Analysis of Writing
$750.00 for Camelot (for educational institutions)

TITLE: ARRAS
AUTHOR: John B. Smith, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Conceptual Tools, Inc., P.O. Box 247, Chapel Hill, NC 27514
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM or look-alike mainframe 43XX, 30XX
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: CMS, TSO; programmed in PL/1
PRICE: $3000; educational license available

TITLE: BRAINSTORM
AUTHOR: Michael Spitzer, programmed by Dwight Kelley, New York Institute of Technology
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Commodore 64, version for Apple II series in progress; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS; programmed in Assembler and BASIC
PRICE: $49.95

TITLE: BURKE
AUTHOR: Major Hugh Burns, Lowry Air Force Base
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Send SASE and floppy diskette to George H. Culp, Computation Center, University of Texas, Austin, TX
78712

TITLE: COMMENT
AUTHOR: Thomas Barker, Texas Tech University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DEC Rainbow 100, IBM PC; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: CP/M or MS-DOS; programmed in MBASIC; also requires GRAMMATIK and MS-BASIC.
PRICE: $10.00

TITLE: COMPOSITION STRATEGY
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Behavioral Engineering, 230 Mt. Hermon Rd. #207, Scotts Valley, CA 95066
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HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: $39.95

TITLE: COMPUPOSE
AUTHOR: Stephen Marcus, University of California-Santa Barbara
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; TRS-80 version in progress; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: $16.95, plus $1.00 shipping and handling, prepaid

TITLE: CREATE/RECREATE
AUTHORS: Valarie Arms and Jim Gibson, Drexel University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple Macintosh; 512 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Resident; programmed in Pascal
PRICE: Undetermined

TITLE: CREATIVE PROBLEM SOLVING
AUTHORS: Raymond J. Rodrigues and Dawn Rodrigues, New Mexico State University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC, Apple Ile and Iic; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: PC-DOS 2.0 and 2.1 (IBM), DOS 3.3 (Apple); programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Send SASE and formatted floppy diskette

TITLE: EPISTLE
AUTHOR: Lance A. Miller, IBM Watson Research Center
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM or look-alike mainframe 43XX, 30XX
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: VM/CMS; programmed in PROLOG
PRICE: Undetermined; not yet available

TITLE: EYEBALL: A PROGRAM FOR STYLISTIC DESCRIPTIONS
AUTHORS: Donald Ross and Robert Rasche, University of Minnesota
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: CDC and IBM mainframes
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: SUN; programmed in FORTRAN G or H, FTN CDC Compiler
PRICE: $40

TITLE: GRADER
AUTHORS: William Marling and Cynthia Marling, Case Western Reserve University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC with two disk drives; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: PC-DOS 1.1 and 2.0; programmed in C
PRICE: $49.95; $120 for GRADER, READER, and WRITER together

TITLE: GRAMMARLAB
AUTHOR: Michael G. Southwell, York College, CUNY
PUBLISHER: Little, Brown & Co. (Joseph Opiela), 34 Beacon St., Boston, MA 02106
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple Ile and Iic, and IBM PC, PC-XT, or PC portable; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3 (Apple), PC-DOS 2.0 and 2.1 (IBM); programmed in BASIC
PRICE: $150 for any single disk; $600 for complete set of five disks; $15 for duplicate copy of any disk. Units 1 and 3, Sentence Structure and Present-tense Verbs, are available now. Units 2, 4, and 5, on Nouns, Past-tense Verbs, and Verb BE, will be available late in 1985.

TITLE: HBJ WRITER (formerly WANDAH)
AUTHORS: Morton Friedman, Earl Rand, Ruth VonBlum, Michael Cohen, and Lisa Gerrard, UCLA Writing Programs
PUBLISHER: HBJ (Robert Pawlick), 1250 Sixth Ave., San Diego, CA 92101
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC with two disk drives, Apple version planned for Spring 1985; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: UCSD p-system; programmed in UCSD Pascal
PRICE: Contact publisher

TITLE: HOLTCOMP (The Holt, Rinehart and Winston Composing Software Series)
AUTHOR: Deborah H. Holdstein, Governors State University
PUBLISHER: Holt, Rinehart and Winston (Charlyce Jones Owen), 383 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10017
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: PC-DOS 2.0; programmed in Pascal
PRICE: Undetermined; available in 1986

TITLE: HOMER: A COMPUTERIZED REVISION PROGRAM
AUTHORS: Michael Cohen and Richard Lanham, UCLA Writing Programs
PUBLISHER: Charles Scribner’s Sons (Shelly Bravin), 115 5th Ave., New York, NY 10003
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II and II+ (48 K) and Apple Ile and III (64 K) with two disk drives
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple Pascal Language System; programmed in Pascal 1.1
PRICE: $150

TITLE: UNIX* INSTRUCTIONAL WORKBENCH**
*Trademark of AT&T Bell Laboratories
**Trademark of AT&T Technologies
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: AT&T Technologies, Software Sales E2M33, P.O. Box 20046, Greensboro, NC 27420
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: AT&T 3B computers and Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC) VAX-class computers
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Unix System V; programmed in C
PRICE: Contact AT&T Technology System Software Sales group

TITLE: LANCELOT
AUTHORS: David Bray, Russ Nelson, and Dennis Horn, Clarkson University
PUBLISHER: Clarkson Software (Dean David Bray), Educational Computing System, Clarkson University, Potsdam, NY 13676
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC, Zenith Z-100, DEC Rainbow; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: MS-DOS 2.0; programmed in Assembler
PRICE: $49

TITLE: ORGANIZE
AUTHOR: Helen J. Schwartz, Oakland University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Undetermined; not yet available

TITLE: THE PARAGRAPHING PROGRAM
AUTHOR: Deborah H. Holdstein, Governors State University
PUBLISHER: Holt, Rinehart and Winston (Charlyce Jones Owen), 383 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10017
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II+ and Ile; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Undetermined; available in 1986

TITLE: PREWRITE
AUTHOR: Mimi Schwartz, Stockton State College
PUBLISHER: Boynton/Cook Publishers, P.O. Box 860, Upper Montclair, NJ 07043
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC and Assembler
PRICE: $79.95, includes a copy of the companion text, Writing for Many Roles
TITLE: THE PROPOSAL WRITER
AUTHOR: William Dennis Horn, Clarkson University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC, Zenith Z-100; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: MS-DOS 2.0; programmed in Clarkson's PILOT
PRICE: $95, includes PILOT

TITLE: QUEST
AUTHOR: James Strickland, Slippery Rock University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series, DECmd II, IBM PC in progress; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3 (Apple), Resident (DEC), PC-DOS 2.0 and 2.1; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Send SASE and floppy diskette

TITLE: THE QUINTILIAN ANALYSIS
AUTHORS: Winston Weathers and Joe H. Nichols
PUBLISHER: Joseph Nichols Publisher, 100 Center Plaza #303, P.O. Box 2394, Tulsa, OK 74101
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: TRS-80 III and IV (48 K), Tandy 1000 and 2000, IBM PC, Sperry PC, Zenith Z-100 (256 K) with two disk drives
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: TRS-80 TRS-DOS 1.3, Tandy MS-DOS 2.0 and 2.11, MS-DOS 1.1, 2.0, 2.1 (IBM), MS-DOS 2.0 for all other; programmed in COBOL
PRICE: $995.00, includes campuswide site license

TITLE: READER
(Information same as for GRADER program above.)

TITLE: RECOMP
AUTHORS: Mark P. Haselkorn, University of Washington
Robert J. Connors, University of New Hampshire
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC; 128 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: UCSD p-system; programmed in Pascal
PRICE: Undetermined, not yet available

TITLE: SEEN
AUTHOR: Helen J. Schwartz, Oakland University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II series; 48 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: $89.95, pre-payment required

TITLE: SOCRATES 2000: A COLLEGE WRITING PROGRAM
AUTHORS: Thomas Bacig and Donald Larmouth
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC, Apple version planned; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: PC-DOS 2.1; Turbo Pascal
PRICE: Undetermined; not yet available

TITLE: TAGI
(Information same as for BURKE program above.)

TITLE: TICCIT ENGLISH COURSE
AUTHORS: Team at Brigham Young University sub-contracted to the Mitre Corporation and the National Science Foundation
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Computer Teaching Services (Harold Hendricks), 2330 HBLL, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: TICCIT™ or MicroTICCIT™
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: NA
PRICE: Negotiable. Original version from Hazeltine Corporation; expanded version from Brigham Young University
TITLE: TOPOI
(Information same as for BURKE programs above.)

TITLE: WARRANT
AUTHORS: Christine Neuwith, Cheryl Geisler, David Kaufer, and Preston Covey, Carnegie-Mellon University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Development on SUN workstation
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Berkeley UNIX 4.2 and the Information Technology Center Andrew system (under development); programming language not yet determined
PRICE: Undetermined; pilot testing in Fall 1987

TITLE: WORDSWORK (formerly Wordsworth II)
AUTHORS: Cynthia L. Selfe and Billie J. Wahlstrom, Michigan Technological University
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: Michigan Tech Software (Tim Nelson), Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: IBM PC; 256 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: MS-DOS 2.1; programmed in MTSA (Michigan Tech Software Authoring Language—a modified Pascal)
PRICE: Contact Michigan Tech Software; module on Narratives is available but other modules are still under development.

TITLE: WRITEAID
AUTHOR: Arthur E. Winterbauer, University of Denver
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DEC VAX-class computers; virtual memory management
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Berkeley UNIX 4.2; programmed in Pascal and C-shells
PRICE: Undetermined; testing prototype

TITLE: WRITER
AUTHOR: Richard Elias, Ohio Wesleyan University
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DEC VAX-class computers
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: VMS version 3.0 or higher; programmed in DCL and TECO
PRICE: Send SASE and tape

TITLE: WRITER
(Information same as for GRADER program above.)

TITLE: WRITER'S HELPER
AUTHOR: William Wresch, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: CONDUIT (Molly Hepler), Oakdale Campus, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple Ile and IIC; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: $120

TITLE: UNIX* Writer's Workbench** Software
* Trademark of AT&T Bell Laboratories
**Trademark of AT&T Technologies
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: AT&T Technologies, Software Sales E2M33, P.O. Box 20046, Greensboro, NC 27420
HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: AT&T 3B computers, DEC PDP 11/70 and VAX-class computers
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: UNIX System V; programmed in C and Lex
PRICE: $4000 ($2000 academic); release 2.0 available

TITLE: THE WRITE WELL TUTORIAL SERIES
AUTHOR: Deborah H. Holdstein, Governors State University
MARKETING ORGANIZATION: CONDUIT (Molly Hepler), Oakdale Campus, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242
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HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS: Apple II+ and IIE; 64 K
SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS: DOS 3.3; programmed in BASIC
PRICE: Undetermined; available in early 1986

Dr. Ellen McDaniel teaches in the Department of English at Texas A&M University in College Station, Texas 77843. She can be reached by calling (409) 845-3452.
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SOFTWARE REVIEW—Microsoft Word for Macintosh

William Kemp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM:</th>
<th>Microsoft Word 1.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUBLISHER:</td>
<td>Microsoft Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>10700 Northrup Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bellevue, Wa. 98004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST PRICE:</td>
<td>$195 (usually discounted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILL RUN ON:</td>
<td>Macintosh 128, 512, XL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMORY (RAM):</td>
<td>128K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISK DRIVES NEEDED:</td>
<td>one (two strongly recommended)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPELL CHEKER:</td>
<td>third-party vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-LINE HELP:</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOCUMENTATION:</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASE OF LEARNING:</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASE OF USE:</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPY PROTECTION:</td>
<td>key disk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEATURES</th>
<th>Y/N</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HELP SCREENS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>terse but clear help available via menu bar; not context-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEADERS/FOOTERS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>multi-line headers/footers possible on all pages or allocated to left/right pages for book mss. Creation is somewhat cumbersome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURSOR CONTROL</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>using Macintosh Mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMATIC WORD WRAP</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>line length controlled by on-screen ruler; margins controlled by Page Setup window, which allows for different sizes of paper and even for gutters on the inside page edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADJUSTABLE MARGINS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>single, double, and &quot;open&quot; (single-spaced paragraphs with double spacing between them)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPACING OPTIONS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AUTO TEXT ADJUST  yes
SEARCH/REPLACE  yes
CUT/PASTE  yes  using the Mouse and the standard Macintosh Clipboard and Scrapbook
AUTO FOOTNOTING  yes  at either page bottom or document end, with auto numbering; footnotes displayed in separate window
WINDOWS  yes  up to four open at once, either for parts of a single file or for multiple files; cut/paste between windows possible
UNDO  yes

Figure 1: The elements of a WORD screen

LITERATURE

FORMAT OPTIONS  yes  paragraph-based formats, with numerous variables
ON-SCREEN DISPLAY  all typographic choices displayed on-screen as they will appear in print
FOREIGN CHARACTERS: yes
special characters for all major European languages
using Roman alphabets available through Macintosh
Key Caps; other alphabets (Greek, Cyrillic, etc.)
available from third-party vendors

CREATIVE WRITING

VARIABLE LINE SPACING: no
only single and double spacing

PROPORTIONAL SPACING: yes
for some printers

CENTERED TEXT: yes

RIGHT-JUSTIFIED TEXT: yes

TECHNICAL WRITING

SUPERSCRIPTING: yes
to one level

SUBSCRIPTING: yes
to one level

GRAPHICS: yes
images from MacPaint, MacDraw, spreadsheets, and
chart-making software can be inserted into text
documents using the Macintosh Clipboard and Scrap-
book

TECHNICAL SYMBOLS: yes
a wide range of specialized symbols, from logic cir-
cuits to musical notes, is available on font disks
from third-party vendors

PROFESSIONAL

CREATE “HELP” SCREENS: no
but reminder notes can be carried in alternate win-
dows or in Macintosh “desk accessories” (Notepad,
Scrapbook)

MERGE PRINTING (boilerplate): yes
including if/then/else logic and chain printing

BACKGROUND PRINTING: no

COLUMNS: yes
up to three columns in hard copy; text is treated as
continuous from one column to the next, and col-
umns are not displayed on screen

GLOSSARY: yes
allows quick entry of often-used brief text passages
such as letterheads or boilerplate phrases
SOFTWARE REVIEW -- Microsoft Word for Macintosh

PROGRAM: Microsoft Word 1.00
PUBLISHER: Microsoft Corporation
ADDRESS: 10700 Northrup Way
Bellevue, Wa 98004
LIST PRICE: $195 (usually discounted)
WILL RUN ON: Macintosh 128, 512, XL
MEMORY (RAM): 128K
DISK DRIVES NEEDED: one (two strongly recommended)
SPELL CHECKER: third-party vendor

OTHER FEATURES

WORD for the Macintosh is Microsoft's adaptation of their full-function word processor, originally written for DOS machines, to the Macintosh. It retains almost all its features, the only omissions being keyboard macros and the stylesheet control of format which PC users of WORD enjoy. In adapting the software, Microsoft has used all standard features of the Macintosh environment: Mouse control, Command Menus, rich typographic options, multiple character fonts, access to elaborate graphic images, and smooth transfer of files from one software application to another. In addition, WORD and MacWrite, the word processor which comes with the Macintosh, can exchange files saved as "text only" (without printing enhancements).

Figure 2: WORD screen using windows for different parts of a single document—this review

OTHER FEATURES

WORD for the Macintosh is Microsoft's adaptation of their full-function word processor, originally written for DOS machines, to the Macintosh. It retains almost all its features, the only omissions being keyboard macros and the stylesheet control of format which PC users of WORD enjoy. In adapting the software, Microsoft has used all standard features of the Macintosh environment: Mouse control, Command Menus, rich typographic options, multiple character fonts, access to elaborate graphic images, and smooth transfer of files from one software application to another. In addition, WORD and MacWrite, the word processor which comes with the Macintosh, can exchange files saved as "text only" (without printing enhancements).

PRINTER SUPPORT

WORD comes with drives for a variety of printers, letter-quality as well as dot-matrix. But many Macintosh printing choices, such as multiple fonts and different type sizes, will work only with dot-matrix printers. The Apple ImageWriter dot-matrix printer provides adequate printout for all but the most formal purposes.
OVERALL EVALUATION

As the only full-function word processor available for the Macintosh, WORD offers capabilities accessible in no other way to those using this brand of microcomputer. Its abilities to create text in columns, do footnotes automatically, and maintain up to four different files open in simultaneous windows are especially attractive. Microsoft has completely mastered the intricacies of writing software for the Macintosh and subscribes fully to the central principle of the Macintosh environment—that all applications should share common commands and procedures for common functions. As a result, the essential features of WORD's opening screen are instantly recognizable to anyone familiar with MacWrite and MacPaint, the two software applications which come with every Macintosh. But the implementation of this program resembles other Microsoft products for the Macintosh in having sometimes cluttered screens. In addition, WORD uses layered menus (menus within menus) to access some of its commands; though this arrangement fits the letter of the Macintosh environment's first principle, it violates the spirit. Changing type fonts or sizes, for example, which Macintosh engineering invites users to do, is excessively cumbersome with WORD. And even more than other Microsoft products, WORD offers several ways of doing the same thing (automatic page numbering, for example), sometimes to the point of confusion. WORD should be especially interesting to anyone needing to do sophisticated word processing in a non-Latin alphabet because inexpensive type fonts are available for everything from Greek (with all diacriticals) through the various Slavic languages to phonetic transcription systems for linguists. With the appropriate font loaded, WORD offers just about every major word processing function, with excellent screen display and adequate hard-copy printout in the chosen font. An inexpensive utility even allows the creation of custom fonts. Learning WORD is bit of a struggle; novice users will find it daunting. But it is an extremely powerful word processor offering choices (windows, automatic footnoting) especially useful to academic writers. MacWrite 4.5 running on a 512K Macintosh is easier
to learn and quicker to use. But **WORD** does give Macintosh users access to nearly every word processing function an academic writer is likely to want. Most Macintosh users will find mastering **WORD** worthwhile.

![WORD screen with the DOCUMENT menu open to select format settings](image)

**Figure 4:** **WORD** screen with the DOCUMENT menu open to select format settings

William Kemp teaches English and linguistics while also directing the Master of Arts in Liberal Studies program at Mary Washington College in Fredericksburg, VA (where, he says, "I'm stuck with WordStar on the HP 150"). He participates in numerous computer-related activities on campus, and also teaches a course titled "Introduction to Computer Themes and Applications."
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Call for Papers
Writing for the Computer Industry

Proposals for presentations at the 1986 Writing for the Computer Industry conference can be submitted until January 3, 1986. Now in its third year, the conference itself will be held on June 7, 1986, in Plymouth, New Hampshire. Those most likely to attend will include teachers of technical writing and writers, designers, editors, and managers of writing in the computer industry and in colleges and universities.

Besides 30-minute presentations, 60-minute hands-on workshops will cover topics such as how to write manuals and online user aids, human-computer interaction, information design, and linguistic style. Presenters will not be charged the $165.00 registration fee, which includes meals and two nights of lodging. Send a 200-word abstract and a 100-word biographical statement to Dr. Richard Chisholm, 7 Reed House, Plymouth State College, Plymouth, NH 03264, or call (603) 536-1550, ext. 301.

Call for Papers
Conference on Computers and Writing

The University of Pittsburgh Conference on Computers and Writing will take place May 2-4, 1986. Topics will include computer-assisted instruction in writing, computerized text analysis, word processing for the classroom, natural language processing, and intelligent computer tutors. Contact Glynda Hull, Conference Coordinator, Department of English, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, or call Terri Yousko at (412) 624-3898.

Manuscript Submissions Welcome

The Newsletter welcomes article submissions that pertain to word-processing, text-analysis, and research applications in professional writing situations. Also, hardware and software reviews are accepted, but please contact Dr. Jim Schwartz, Hardware/Software Review Editor, before submitting them (call Jim at 605-394-1246). Manuscripts either may be submitted as hard copy or on 5¼" diskettes using WordStar, WordStar 2000, or standard ASCII code. If submitting disks, please make sure they are formatted either in MS-DOS, PC-DOS, or a popular CP/M format (Kaypro, Zenith, etc.) The Editors reserve the right to edit manuscripts, if necessary. If you want your manuscript or diskette returned, please send enough postage to cover the return along with a self-addressed envelope. Address all correspondence to the Editors, Research in Word Processing Newsletter, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, 501 E. St. Joseph, Rapid City, SD 57701-3995. The Editors may also be reached on CompuServe (70177,1154) and the Source (AAH500).